

GIANLUCA SPOSITO

THE KEYS OF LEGAL RHETORIC

A Handbook for Lawyers

intra

GIANLUCA SPOSITO

The Keys of Legal Rhetoric

A Handbook for Lawyers

intra

© 2020 Intra S.r.l.s., Italy - All Rights Reserved
<https://edizioni.intra.pro> - edizioni@intra.pro
ISBN code: 9791280035059

Unicuique suum

Introduction

The modern speaker (lawyer) confronts people who were trained in an era strongly conditioned by visuals and speed. However, he is technically incapable, because he is no longer trained to fully and correctly use speech and rhetoric, as well as the visuals which become mere support.

Nevertheless, effective forensic communication cannot be achieved in an extemporaneous fashion or without preliminary preparation: communication has to be shaped by studying rhetoric, psychology, and paraverbal and non-verbal communication.

Knowing and being able to use rhetoric allows you to organize your thinking in a technically valid way and deliver persuasive speech.

Conscious and adequate communication represents an added value of the individual and his social context. It is a necessary asset.

Therefore, this book starts with the study of rhetoric and communication in general, which represents a persistent and inexplicable gap in the training of jurists. These aspects have been astonishingly underestimated if we think of the Greco-Roman origins of rhetoric, which still today play an important role in the work of jurists and therefore knowledge of such origins are a key

requirement of all jurists.

The speakers of the XXI century should instead know how to value and use rhetorical thinking according to the teaching of classical rhetoric. And they should know how to be ‘persuasive’, in a technically and ethically correct way. The goal should be a rational and persuasive argument and not persuasion by seduction.

Reading notes

This book represents a practical aid that will allow the reader to understand classical rhetoric whilst focusing on the needs of modern jurists.

The deliberately practical approach has resulted in necessary simplifications, also on several disciplines’ topics (law, linguistics, logic, neuroscience, psychology, sociology).

Note to the English edition

This book is a slightly amended version of the Italian edition (“Manuale di retorica forense”, 2020). Special thanks to Jessica Cucchiarini and Callum Poyser for their advice and assistance with the translation, but the final choices (and therefore the final faults) are made by the author: guilty!

SUMMARY

Introduction	5
1. The pillars of forensic eloquence. The role of classical rhetoric	7
1.1. “Regulated” eloquence. Organization of the speech. <i>Lógos, páthos</i> and <i>éthos</i>	7
1.2. The parts of persuasive speech	12
1.2.1. The introductory part (exordium)	12
1.2.2. Presentation of the facts (narratio)	13
1.2.3. Argumentation (argumentatio)	15
1.2.4. The epilogue (peroratio)	17
1.3. Organization of the arguments (<i>dispositio</i>)	18
1.4. The linguistic and expressive form (<i>elocutio</i>). The virtues of verbal expression (<i>virtutes elocutionis</i>)	20
1.5. The rhetorical skills of the forensic speaker	22
2. Rhetorical figures and schemes	25
2.1. Rhetorical figures and classification systems	25
2.2. Classification by speaker’s goals	27
2.3. To accentuate	27
2.3.1. Emphasis	28
2.3.2. Hyperbole	29
2.4. To allude	30
2.4.1. Allusion	30
2.5. To attenuate	31
2.5.1. Litotes	31
2.5.2. Euphemism	33
2.6. To compare	33
2.6.1. Comparison	34

2.6.2. Simile	34
2.7. To oppose	34
2.7.1. Antithesis	34
2.7.2. Oxymoron	35
2.8. To create	36
2.8.1. Metaphor	36
2.8.2. Metonymy	38
2.8.3. Synecdoche	38
2.8.4. Periphrasis	39
2.8.5. Antonomasia	40
2.8.6. Synesthesia	41
2.9. To digress	41
2.9.1. Digression	42
2.9.2. Parenthesis	43
2.9.3. Prosapodosis or subnexio	43
2.10. To query	44
2.10.1. Dubitatio	45
2.10.2. Sermocinatio	45
2.10.3. Percontatio	46
2.11. To dramatize	46
2.11.1. Apostrophe	47
2.11.2. Exclamation	47
2.12. To mask	48
2.12.1. Simulation and dissimulation	48
2.12.2. Antiphrasis	50
2.12.3. Irony and sarcasm	51
2.13. To order	53
2.13.1. Anastrophe and hyperbaton	54

Summary

2.13.2. Epiphrase	55
2.13.3. Hysteron proteron	55
2.14. To repeat	55
2.14.1. Anaphora	56
2.14.2. Polysyndeton	58
2.14.3. Epiphora	58
2.14.4. Symploce	59
2.14.5. Polyptoton	59
2.14.6. Epanalepsis	60
2.14.7. Epizeuxis	60
2.14.8. Anadiplosis	61
2.14.9. Climax	62
2.14.10. Alliteration	63
2.14.11. Homeoteleuton	64
2.15. To sentence	64
2.15.1. Sententia	64
2.15.2. Maxim	64
2.15.3. Aphorism	65
2.15.4. Proverb	65
2.16. To subtract	65
2.16.1. Brachyology, conciseness or brevitās	66
2.16.2. Percursio	66
2.16.3. Ellipse	67
2.16.4. Preterition	67
2.16.5. Aposiopesis or reticence	68
2.16.6. Asyndeton	69
2.17. To explain	70
2.17.1. Commoratio	70

2.17.2. Expolitio	70
2.17.3. Interpretatio	71
2.17.4. Definition	71
2.17.5. Epanorthosis or correctio	72
3. Argumentative fallacies	73
3.1. Introduction and definition	73
3.2. A good argument	75
(cont'd) Classification of fallacies	76
3.3. Semantic fallacies	78
3.3.1. Lexical ambiguity. Fallacy of the fourth term	78
3.3.2. Structural ambiguity. Amphiboly. Fallacy of accent	79
3.3.3. Vagueness. The paradox of Sorites	80
3.4. Emotional fallacies	80
3.4.1. Argumentum ad baculum (appeal to force)	80
3.4.2. Argumentum ad metum (appeal to fear)	81
3.4.3. Argumentum ad misericordiam (appeal to pity)	81
3.4.4. Argumentum ad hominem	81
3.4.5. Poisoning the Well	82
3.4.6. Argumentum ad populum (appeal to common belief)	82
3.5. Other relevant fallacies	83
3.5.1. Argumentum ad verecundiam (appeal to authority)	83
3.5.2. Argumentum ad ignorantiam (appeal to ignorance)	83
3.5.3. Red herring (irrelevant conclusion)	84
3.5.4. Straw man fallacy	84
3.5.5. Plurium interrogationum (complex question)	85
3.5.6. Argumentum ad consequentiam (appeal to	

Summary

consequences of a belief)	85
3.5.7. Petitio principii (circular logic)	86
3.5.8. Secundum quid (hasty generalization)	86
3.5.9. False precision (over precision or fake precision)	86
4. Visual rhetoric	88
4.1. The visual - support and topic	88
4.2. Visual rhetorical tools in the American criminal trial	93
4.3. Visual rhetorical devices in the Italian criminal trial	100
4.4. Limitations and problems of visual rhetoric.	
Concluding remarks	102
REFERENCES	105
SUMMARY	115

Effective forensic communication cannot be achieved in an extemporaneous fashion or without preliminary preparation: communication has to be shaped by studying rhetoric, psychology and paraverbal and non-verbal communication. Knowing and being able to use rhetoric allows you to organize your thinking in a technically valid way and deliver persuasive speech.

This book represents a practical aid that will allow the reader to understand classical rhetoric whilst focusing on the needs of modern jurists.



Gianluca Sposito (1973) is a well-known Italian criminal lawyer and teaches "Legal argumentation and trial rhetoric" at the University of Urbino since 2004. He is one of the leading Italian experts in rhetoric and communication.